जीवन रक्षक औषधियों की सूची जारी किया जाना

1962. डा० जिनेन्द्र कुमार जैन :

सरदार जगजीत सिंह अरोड़ा :

क्या प्रधान मंत्री यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि :

(क) क्या यह सच है कि सरकार अप्रैल, 1992 तक देश में जीवन रक्षक औषधियों की सूची जारी नहीं कर सकी है ;

(ख) क्या यह भी सच है कि इस सूची के जारी न किए जाने के कारण लगभग सभी आयातित औषधियों अथवा उनके कच्चे माल के मूल्यों में अत्यधिक वृद्धि हो चुकी है ;

(ग) यदि हां, तो जीवन रक्षक औषधियों की सूची जारी करने में विलम्ब के क्या कारण हैं; और

(घ) भविष्य में उसे कब तक जारी कर दिए जाने की संभावना है ?

रसायन और उर्वरक मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री चिन्ता मोहन) : (क) से (ग) विद्यमान एक्सिम नीति के उपबंधों के अनुसार जीवन रक्षक औषधियों की सूची आयात-निर्यात मुख्य नियंत्रक द्वारा अधिसूचित की जाती है। इस सूची के शीघ्र ही घोषित किए जाने की आशा है।

**Cost of Fertilizers**

1363. SHRI P. UPENDRA: Will (he PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that cost of fertilisers has risen following partial withdrawal of fertiliser subsidy;

(b) if so the effect of price rise on the use of fertilisers by the farmers; and

(c) whether Government are contem-pfal'iig withdrawal of the remaining per-t en of the subsidy';

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) The cost of fertilizers has risen to the extent of increase in fertilizer prices by 30 per cent on an average w.e.f. 14th August, 1991. A few grades of fertilizers like Ammonium Chloride, Ammonium Sulphate, Calcium Ammonium Nitrate and Sulphate of Potash have been decontrolled w.e.f. 25th July, U991; their prices, are determined by market forces.

(b) As per tentative estimates, there was no reduction in consumption of fer tilizers during Khariff 1991 or Rabi 1991-92. However, final figures of con sumption are still to be compiled.

(c) There is no such proposal at present. However, all aspects of fertili zer pricing and subsidy are at present ur.ier consideration of a Parliament ConuTtttee and their report is awaited.

**Coawttaats of IDPL**

1964. SHRI SUNIL. BASU . RAY: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 3073 given in Rajya Sabha on 19th December, 1991 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that after his, superannuation in October, 1989, Shri S. S. Suri was first made consultant in IDPL for five months till March, 1990, then re-employed till March, 1991 on the same terms and conditions which he was enjoying at the time of retirement), and again made consultant till August, 1991;

(b) whether it is also a fact that Shri K. V. Pai after his superannuation in May, 1990 was given extension for one year till May, 1991 and then again retained him till August, 1991;

(c) if so; what are the reasons for which the statements without verifying

in Dom cases, which are contrary to the facts, were given in the answer *to* the reply to the said question; and

(d) what action Government propose to take in this regard?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS, AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) and (b) Yes, Sir.

(c) and (d) The answer given to Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 3073 dated 19-12-1991 was to be read in the context of the question asked and to that extent it is factually correct.

**Economic viability of HFC and FCI**

1965. SHRI DHTJLESHWAR MEENA: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have ruledi out the possibility of the Hindustan Fertiliser Corporation (HFC) and Fertiliser Corporation of India (FCI) chronically sick public sector undertakings becoming economically viable even if substantial investment is made in these Units;

(b) the particulars of the various fertiliser factories under these two undertakings and the capital invested in them and the number of employees working therein; and

(c) what is Governments final thinking about the future of these Undertakings declared beyond recovery in the context of its present policy of privatisation?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF CHEMICALS AND FERTILIZERS (SHRI CHINTA MOHAN): (a) No, Sir.

(b) The details of capital investment and number of employees working in various operating units of FCI and HFC are as follows:—

| Unit | Capital Investment | No. of employees) |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Rs. crores) |
| 1. *FCI :* | | |
| (a) Sindri . . | 318.12 | 3,991 |
| (b) Gorakhpur . | 68.08 | 1,976 |
| (c) Ramagundam . | 211.68 | 1,336 |
| (d) Talcher . | 227.85 | 1,431 |
| 2. *HFC :* | | |
| (a) Barauni . . | 182.23 | 1,711 |
| (b) Durgapur . | 152.61 | 1,828 |
| (c) Namrup I, II & III . . . | 385.25 | 3,012 |

(Note: Capital investment represents equity and plan loan as on 31-3-1991)

(c) The cases of both HFC and FCI have been referred to BIFR by the respective Board of Directors of these companies and a final decision on future of these undertakings will depend upon the consideration of the matter by BIFR, deliberation of Tripartite Committee on 'Chemical Industry and on the technical feasibility and economic viability of these units, as also the availability of funds through budgetary sources.

**Modernisation of Chemicals and Fertiliser Plants**

1966. SHRI RAM AWADHESH SINGH: Will the PRIME MINISTER be pleased to state:

(a) what are the, names of the Chemicals and Fertiliser Plants where work of modernisation was started during the 7th plan and how much work has been completed and how much is remaining;

(b) what are the names of the companies which have been working for the modernisation together with the details of the cost;